

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 OLEG OLIYARNIK, Plaintiff, }
11 vs. } Case No. 2:06-cv-00213-PMP-GWF
12 PETER RIVA, et al., Defendants. }
13 }
14 }

16 This matter is before the Court on the status hearing scheduled in Minute Order (#10), filed on
17 November 9, 2006, and held on November 20, 2006. Plaintiff previously advised the Court that
18 Defendant Peter Riva is deceased. The other Defendants named in the Complaint have not been served.
19 Plaintiff stated that he would still like to pursue a claim against the estate of Peter Riva, but is not aware
20 of whether an estate has been opened or where assets of the deceased, if any, are located. The Plaintiff
21 agreed that this case should be dismissed without prejudice to his right to pursue a claim against the
22 Defendant's estate. Accordingly,

RECOMMENDATION

24 **IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED** that Plaintiff OLEG OLIVARNIK's claim be dismissed
25 without prejudice, each party to bear their own fees and costs herein.

NOTICE

27 Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2, any objection to this Finding and Recommendation must be in
28 writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within ten (10) days. The Supreme Court has held that the
courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections

1 within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1)
2 failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the
3 objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues
4 from the order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi*
5 *Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

6 DATED this 21st day of November, 2006.

7 
8 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
9 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28